EXHIBIT A

	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA	
	In Re: Pork Antitrust Litigation)) File No. 18CV1776) 19CV1578 & 19CV2723) (JRT/HB)
)
) Minneapolis, Minnesota) May 13, 2020
) 2:08 P.M.
)
	BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHIEF JUDGE JOHN R. TUNHEIM UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE (MOTIONS HEARING VIA VIDEO CONFERENCE)	
1		

1 relationship with Agri Stats and to oversee the 2 transmission of data to and from Agri Stats. 3 That is the level of importance that these 4 defendants ascribed to the Agri Stats reports, that they 5 would put such high level directors, treasurers, division 6 controllers in control of this relationship. 7 Your Honor, just briefly on the -- I'm finished, unless Your Honor has any questions further on the rule of 8 9 reason or the per se? 10 THE COURT: I just had one question. Other than 11 participating in providing information to Agri Stats, are 12 there any other allegations against Indiana Packers? MS. SCARLETT: Your Honor, I would have to go 13 14 back through the complaints in detail, but I believe in 15 large part, the allegations against Indiana Packers rest 16 with their participation in Agri Stats. 17 THE COURT: All right. That's what I thought. 18 Thank you. 19 MS. SCARLETT: Just briefly addressing Rule 9(b), 20 it's a very fact specific inquiry. Your Honor is more than 21 capable of addressing it, but it's whether or not these 22 claims sound in fraud. These are price fixing and supply 23 constraints antitrust claims. These are not consumer 24 allegations of deception of packaging or labeling. These 25 are the core critical antitrust claims.